To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25) 1001 I Street PO Box 4025 Sacramento CA 95812-4025

General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdiction Int All respondents must complete the	his section.	<u> </u>	·	· ,		
I certify under penalty of perjuand that I am authorized to m	iry that the	information in this c ertification on behalf	locument is true and of:	correct to	the best of my knowledge,	
Jurisdiction Name			County			
City of Chino Hills			San Bernardino			
Authorized Signature			Title			
Change Change			Senior Administrative Analyst			
Type/Print Name of Person Signing		Date		Phone		
Nancy Meadows		7/16/02		(909) 364-2625		
Person Completing This Form (please print or type)			Title			
Nancy Meadows			Senior Administrative Analyst			
				,		
Phone E-mail Add		E-mail Address	mail Address f		Fax	
(909)364-2625		nmeadows@chinohills.org		(909)364-2695		
	···					
Mailing Address	City		State ZIP C		ZIP Code	
2001 Grand Avenue	Chino Hills	i	CA		91709	

Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.

The City of Chino Hills is requesting additional time to meet the 50% diversion goal due to a shortfall in the requirement by 8%. In 1999 the diversion rate was 49%, which reflected a consistent increase over previous years. A barrier which my have been a contributing factor is in the commercial/industrial sector, where recycling programs are more difficult to implement and monitor. In addition, it was necessary to focus on obtaining the maximum diversion from the residential sector as it constitutes 78% of the waste stream. Previous to February 1, 2001, the City's commercial waste stream was taken directly to the landfill without being sorted due to the City's waste hauler at the time not having an available MRF. As of February 1, 2001, all commercial/industrial waste has been taken to the new waste hauler's facility where it is sorted at the MRF. Since this processing began, it is estimated that 5,900 tons have been recycled that had previously been landfilled.

- 2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.
- It is expected that by December 2002, Chino Hills will have exceeded the 50% goal. This will allow ample time for the City to process the commercial and industrial debris through the MRF and still have enough time to evaluate the progress and adjust the program as necessary. The City's commercial contract requires one or more recycling containers to be provided and used exclusively for accumulation, separation and collection of recyclable solid waste. The waste hauler is required to use its best efforts to process all recyclable solid waste through a MRF in order to maximize diversion of commercial solid waste from landfilling.
- 3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

The City began implementing residential recycling programs using variable rates to encourage participation in 1993, just 15 months after the City incorporated on December 1, 1991. Automated refuse and greenwaste pilot programs were impermented to determine cost, and by September 1993, the automated program was city-wide. Accompanying the automated program, was the delivery of recycling bins for residential commingled recyclables. The delivery of 15 quart used oil containers for curbside pick up also took place upon request to ensure used oil was being properly disposed and not being placed in with the refuse. The City's Holiday tree program also began which allowed the trees to be ground up and used for erosion control. While the majority of the focus was on residential, certain conditions were placed on developers. The City's standard conditions of Approval for all development require that bins for the commercial collection of recyclable materials generated by the project be provided. Conditions also require that trash bin enclosures in shopping centers were to be large enough to hold and extra bin for source separation of recyclables. In addition, commercial establishments are required to show the City a plan outlining in-house recycling awareness programs. More recently in 1998, the City implemented automated recycling. This eliminated small bins and allowed residents more capacity and the convenience of a wheeled cart.

4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

Agenda Item Attachment 1

In January, 2001, the City's exclusive waste hauling franchise terminated. This prompted the need to solicit a Request For Proposals in May 2000, for waste hauling and recycling services. Upon receipt of proposals from eight waste hauling firms, the City negotiated with a new hauler which began servicing Chino Hills on February 1, 2001. The new waste hauler processes all recycalbes and commercial waste through their materials recovery facility to obtain maximum diversion opportunities. The decrease in the City's diversion from 49% to 42% was very inconsistent with the trend that the City had been following in previous years. Even though the City has seen steady residential growth since the early 90's, it does not account for the additional 7,942 ton increase in 2000. The tonnage averaged 1,985 higher per quarter than in 1999. In comparison, the amount of waste landfilled in 1999 totaled 33,497 tons. The amount of waste landfilled during 2000 was 41,439. In years previous, the highest increase in tonnage since 1995 occurred between 1998 and 1999 in the amount of 2,925, however, the City still reached a diversion rate of 48%. There have been no special projects i.e. freeway contruction that took place during this time frame. In fact the year 2000 increase of 7,942, is higher than all previous years dating back to 1995 combined. Since February 1, 2001, when the commercial/industrial waste began being processed through the MRF, the amount landfilled has already decreased from 41,439 tons in 2000 to 35,836 tone in 2001, a difference of 5,603 tons.

Section IIIB—ALTERNATIVE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs	that
were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good fai	th
effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's efforts in demonstrating "good faith	
effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be	
comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.	
Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1.).	

were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's efforts in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation. Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1.).
1. Why does your jurisdiction need and Alternative Diversion Requirement? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.
2. Why is your jurisdiction requesting an Alternative Diversion Requirement in lieu of a Time Extension?
•
3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.
4. Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for an ADR. Provide any relevant information that supports the request.

Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Residential %		78%	78% Non-residential %			
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: www.ciwmb.ca.gov/ LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION	FUNDING DATE FULLY SOURCE COMPLETE		LESTIMATEL	
2030	expand	Facility. This processing be the City's wast Hauler. All v recyclables are extracted fro only the residual waste bein first year the first year of the	through a Material Recovery egan as a result in a chage in waste is sorted and om the waste stream, with glandfilled. Based on the a program implementation, s, sed from 41,439 tons in 2000 ffererence of 5,603 tons. commercial waste being whereas prior to February event directly to the landfill	al rates	12/31/02	6%
4060	new	All construction and demolit the waste haulers MRF whe This program began Februar February 1, 2001 and Janur construction/demolition deb 7,151 tons received.	ion debris is now taken to the it is sorted for recycling . try 1, 2001. Between by 30, 2002 3,102-tons of	included in commerci al rates	12/31/02	3%
		Total Estimated Dive	rsion Percent From New an	d/or Expanded	d Programs	
	Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report					
Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated						51%

EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	
X	The City's commercial contract requires one or more recycling containers to be provided and used exclusively for accumulation, separation and collection of recyclable solid waste. The waste hauler is required to use its best efforts to process all recyclable solid waste through a MRF in order to maximize diversion of commercial solid waste from landfilling.	12/31/02	
		X The City's commercial contract requires one or more recycling containers to be provided and used exclusively for accumulation, separation and collection of recyclable solid waste. The waste hauler is required to use its best efforts to process all recyclable solid waste through a MRF in order to maximize diversion of	

Section IV B—GOA	AL ACHIEV	/EMENT					
Goal Achievement Attach additional sh			es the jurisdiction	will use to ac	chieve the ADR	•	
المادة	4:-16/		· ·	Nam	manislandial M		
Resid	ential %			Non-	residential %		
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at:	NEW or EXPAND	DE	SCRIPTION OF PROGR	AM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	
www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LG Central/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm							
		Total I	Estimated Diversion Per	rcent From New	and/or Expanded	Programs	
			Current Diversion Rate	Percent From	Latest Annual Rep	ort	
			Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated				
	PR	OGRAMS	SUPPORTING I	DIVERSION	N ACTIVITIES	3	
PROGRAM TYPE		NEW or DESCRIPTION OF PR		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ATE FULLY OMPLETED	
							141_1